

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

LATIA ALEXANDER, individually as heir of
ISAIAH T. WILLIAMS and in her capacity as
Special Administrator of the Estate of ISAIAH
T. WILLIAMS,

Plaintiff,

v.

LAS VEGAS METROPOLITAN POLICE
DEPARTMENT, a political subdivision of the
State of Nevada; KERRY KUBLA, in his
individual capacity; BRICE CLEMENTS, in
his individual capacity; ALEX GONZALES,
in his individual capacity; RUSSELL
BACKMAN, in his individual capacity;
JAMES ROTHENBURG, in his individual
capacity; JAMES BERTUCCINI, in his
individual capacity; DOES I-XX, inclusive,

Defendants.

CASE NO. 2:24-cv-00074-APG-NJK

SCHEDULING ORDER

SPECIAL SCHEDULING REVIEW
REQUESTED

The parties held a scheduling conference under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(f) on March 8, 2024. The parties now submit their proposed discovery plan and scheduling order with deadlines longer than set forth in LR 26-1(b) and a special scheduling review requested.

1. Discovery Cut-Off Date. The Defendants answered or otherwise appeared on February 5, 2024. The discovery cut-off date is **February 5, 2025**.

2. Amending the Pleadings and Adding Parties. The deadline to amend the pleadings and add parties is **October 4, 2024**.

1 3. Expert and Rebuttal-Expert Disclosures. The deadline to disclose experts is
2 **November 5, 2024**. The deadline to disclose rebuttal experts is **December 5, 2024**.

3 4. Dispositive Motions. The deadline to file dispositive motions is **March 5, 2025**.

4 5. Pretrial Order. The deadline to file a pretrial order is **April 7, 2025**.

5 6. Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(3). The disclosures required by this rule and any objections to
6 them must be included in the joint pretrial order.

7 7. Alternative Dispute Resolution. The parties certify that they met and conferred about
8 the possibility of using alternative dispute-resolution processes including mediation, arbitration, and
9 if applicable, early neutral evaluation. The parties agreed that discovery and expert practice would
10 likely need to occur before any meaningful settlement negotiations could occur in this case.

11 8. Alternative Forms of Case Disposition. The parties certify that they considered
12 consent to trial by a magistrate judge under 28 U.S.C. § 636(c) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 73 and the use
13 of the Short Trial Program (General Order 2013-01). They do not desire either at this time.

14 9. Electronic Evidence. The parties certify that they discussed whether to present
15 evidence in electronic format to jurors for the purpose of jury deliberations. The parties see no
16 unusual issues related to electronic evidence at this time, with the exception that over 100 hours of
17 body worn camera video has been electronically produced.

18 10. Special Scheduling Review. Counsel for the parties conferred with each other at the
19 Rule 26(f) conference and agreed that this was the rare case where one year would be needed for
20 discovery and have submitted this Plan based on that proposed deadline. Both counsel agree on this
21 and wish to express to the Court the following factors that justify this extended period of discovery:
22 This is a civil rights action which arises out of a fatal, officer-involved shooting which occurred on
23 January 10, 2022. The deceased was a 19 year old black man who was shot and killed as a police
24 SWAT team forcibly entered an apartment to serve a search warrant. As an officer-involved fatal
25 shooting, there were detailed post-incident investigations by Defendant Las Vegas Metro Police
26 Department. Dozens of police officers—many of which will likely be deposed—were involved.
27 Well over 100 hours of body worn camera footage and recorded statements have been disclosed.
28 Each side has produced several thousand pages of documents in their initial disclosures. Each side

acknowledges that written discovery will be robust and that more than 10 depositions by Plaintiff may be needed. Each side anticipates the retention of multiple experts in various fields to testify at trial. Plaintiff intends to see an eight-figure award at trial. While counsel for both parties are seasoned litigation professionals, both agreed that it was extremely unlikely either side could prepare their case without thorough discovery and extended deadlines. Therefore, this extended discovery plan is submitted for one year of discovery and counsel sincerely hope the Court can understand why the extended time for discovery is needed to prepare this particular case.

IT IS SO STIPULATED AND AGREED.

Dated this 11th day of March, 2024.

Dated this 11th day of March, 2024.

BREEDEN & ASSOCIATES, PLLC

MARQUIS AURBACH

/s/ Adam J. Breeden

/s/ Craig Anderson

ADAM J. BREEDEN, ESQ.

CRAIG R. ANDERSON, ESQ.

Nevada Bar No. 008768
7432 W. Sahara Ave., Suite 101
Las Vegas, Nevada 89117
Phone: (702) 819-7770
Fax: (702) 819-7771
Adam@Breedendassociates.com
Attorneys for Plaintiff

Nevada Bar No. 6882
10001 Park Run Drive
Las Vegas, NV 89145
Phone: (702) 382-0711
Fax: (702) 382-5816
canderson@maclaw.com
Attorney for Defendants

IT IS SO ORDERED


UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

DATED: March 12, 2024